

## March Planning Summary

**PL/2026/00930 – Home Farm, Corston:** There are several concerns with the application which taken together suggest the submission of an **objection**. (i) The proposed entrance to the site is from the A429, very adjacent to the end of the national speed restriction. Considerable local information indicates that the speed of most of the traffic passing the proposed access is likely to be at or perhaps marginally below the national speed limit because the site is very adjacent to the speed limit change from 60mph to 40mph. It is the council's view that visibility splays for a 60mph road must be used to ensure that the site can permit vehicles to enter and leave safely. (ii) The string development of Burton Hill does not have a settlement boundary therefore it is concerned that this proposal for four dwellings would extend the development contrary to CP 2. (iii) Several residents in neighbouring properties have voiced concerns to the council about the risk of flooding because of this development. These were detailed in a representation to the council which I suggest is copied as part of the Council's submission. They indicate that the existing drainage system is already at full capacity, with the occasional overage occurring, thus there should be no additional surface water from this development permitted to drain into existing systems. Any consent for this development must include a standalone drainage system that doesn't compromise the current arrangements.

**PL/2025/02257 – Land to the north of Whychurch Farm:** This application presents new plans to the ones submitted last year. The site is not within the council's boundary however lies adjacent to it. The council commented on the previous application (**object**), supporting the comments submitted by MTC, and I believe it should comment again taking the lead from MTC.

**PL/2026/01364 – 6 Storey Mews:** I suggest **no objection** should be the council's response.

**PL/2026/00994 – Buckley Barracks, Lower Stanton St Quinton:** As this is a single storey *temporary* structure that has minimal visual impact, I suggest **no objection** should be the council's response.